IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE SIXTH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE L.NARASIMHA REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO : 21109 of 2004

Between:

Jujjuri. Venkata Rao, Agriculturist, S/o. Ramaiah,
R/o. Mysannagudem (V), Jangareddy Gudem (M), West Godavari District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Circle Inspector of Police, Jangareddygudem, W.G. District.
2 The Station House Officer, Buttayagudem, W.G. District.
3 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Buttayagudem, W.G. District.

.....RESPONDENT(S)

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ of Mandamus, declaring the action of the respondents herein in not taking action on the complaints made by the petitioner inspite of the instructions from the higher authorities his lands situated in R.S. No. 510/A to an extent of Ac. 2-00 in Reddy Ganapavaram Village, Buttayagudem Mandal, as illegal, unjust, contrary to all cannons of law and as such liable to be set aside and further directing the respondents to provide protection to the petitioner to harvest the crop raised.

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.S.M.SUBHAN

Counsel for the Respondent No.: GP FOR HOME

The Court made the following :

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE L.NARASIMHA REDDY

-

WRIT PETITION NO. 21109 of 2004

-

-

ORDER:

The petitioner claims to be the owner of agricultural land in tribal area. His grievance is that the local tribals are interfering with his possession and preventing even undertaking agricultural operations. It is represented that though a complaint is filed with the respondents, no action has been taken thereon. He seeks appropriate directions in this regard.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Government Pleader for Home.

When the writ petition is came up for admission on 18-11-2004, time was granted to the learned Government Pleader, to obtain instructions. Learned Government Pleader had placed before this Court a copy of F.I.R.No.85 of 2004, on the file of the 2nd respondent. A Crime under Sections 447 and 506 (2) I.P.C., was registered against the accused mentioned therein. Therefore, it cannot be said that the respondents did not act on the complaint. If the petitioner feels that, despite the registration of crime there is interference, he can certainly bring the same to the

notice of the respondents, who in turn shall accord necessary protection to the petitioner, apart from registration of cases.

The writ petition is accordingly disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

_____

L. NARASIMHA REDDY, J.

Dt.06-12-2004

To

1 The Circle Inspector of Police, Jangareddygudem, W.G. District.
2 The Station House Officer, Buttayagudem, W.G. District.
3 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Buttayagudem, W.G. District.

4. 2 C.Cs to G.P. for Home, High Court of A.P., High Court Buildings,

Hyderabad (OUT).

5. 2 C.D. copies.